



Peer Reviewed Journal

ISSN 2581-7795

Academic Faculty Productivity Analytics Portal

Yashodhan P A

Bannari Amman Institute of Technology, Aeronautical Engineering Department, Sathyamangalam, India

Abstract - Faculty productivity plays a crucial role in academic institutions, directly influencing teaching quality, research output, and institutional performance. Traditional faculty evaluation methods often rely on manual assessments and static metrics, leading to inefficiencies and potential biases. To address these challenges, I propose a Faculty Productivity Analysis Dashboard, a data-driven web application designed to analyze and visualize faculty workload, research contributions, and teaching performance. Developed using Streamlit, the dashboard integrates structured datasets on faculty activities, including teaching research publications. and administrative hours. responsibilities. Through statistical analysis, I identify key workload trends, revealing that excessive teaching commitments often correlate with reduced research output. Additionally, I explore productivity models such as Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs and the 80/20 Rule to understand factors influencing faculty performance. My findings demonstrate that interactive visualization tools can enhance decision-making in faculty assessment, helping administrators optimize workload distribution. This study contributes to data-driven faculty evaluation methodologies and lays the foundation for future improvements, such as AI-driven productivity predictions and sentiment analysis of student feedback.

Key Words: Faculty productivity, data analytics, workload assessment, dashboard visualization, academic efficiency

1. INTRODUCTION

Faculty productivity is crucial for academic institutions, impacting teaching quality, research output, and overall performance. Traditional evaluation methods rely on static metrics and subjective assessments, often leading to inefficiencies and biases. To address this, we propose a Faculty Productivity Analysis Dashboard, a data-driven web application developed using Streamlit to analyze faculty workload, research contributions, and teaching performance. Unlike conventional time management approaches, this study emphasizes energy management, drawing from neuroscience and productivity science. Cognitive load, ultradian rhythms, dopamine regulation, and stress-cortisol effects significantly impact faculty efficiency, making energy optimization more critical than time allocation. The study integrates Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, the 80/20 Rule, and Parkinson's Law to provide a structured framework for enhancing faculty assessment. Through data analytics and interactive visualization, this research aims to improve decision-making in workload distribution while laying the foundation for AI-driven productivity predictions in academic institutions.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

Several studies have explored faculty productivity assessment through data visualization and dashboard frameworks. O'Meara et al. developed dashboards to analyze faculty workload and equity trends, utilizing visualization techniques and survey data aggregation to enhance transparency. Almasi et al. designed a faculty assessment dashboard integrating Python for backend data analysis, providing a structured framework for tracking faculty development metrics. Nyberg and Shore investigated faculty productivity quantification using statistical analysis, incorporating key performance indicators such as publication count, teaching load, and research impact. These studies highlight the importance of data-driven faculty evaluation, informing our approach to designing an interactive productivity analysis dashboard.

3. OBJECTIVES

1) Develop a User-Friendly Dashboard Interface:

Create an intuitive and visually appealing dashboard using Streamlit to ensure accessibility for institutional leaders, department heads, and faculty members.

2) Enable Data-Driven Decision-Making:

Integrate advanced data analysis and visualization techniques to provide actionable insights into performance metrics, aiding in resource allocation, trend identification, and targeted improvement strategies.

3) Incorporate Machine Learning Capabilities:

Implement machine learning algorithms to predict productivity trends, identify patterns, and recommend strategies for enhancing faculty performance management and institutional outcomes.





Peer Reviewed Journal

ISSN 2581-7795

4) Promote Continuous Improvement:

Foster a culture of excellence by enabling faculty selfevaluation and supporting institutional planning and improvement.

3. METHODOLOGY

- Data Collection & Preprocessing: Gather structured datasets on faculty activities, including teaching hours, research publications, and administrative workload. Clean and preprocess the data using Pandas to handle missing values and inconsistencies.
- **Dashboard Development using Streamlit**: Build an interactive web-based dashboard with role-based access, allowing faculty and administrators to visualize productivity metrics dynamically.
- **Data Visualization & Analysis**: Use Matplotlib and Seaborn to create bar charts, heatmaps, and line graphs for workload distribution and performance trends.
- **Statistical & Trend Analysis:** Apply descriptive statistics to analyze faculty workload and identify correlations between teaching load and research output.
- **Integration of Productivity Models:** Utilize theoretical frameworks such as Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs and the 80/20 Rule to interpret faculty efficiency and workload balance.
- **Performance Evaluation Metrics:** Define key indicators such as research output per faculty, teaching efficiency, and administrative workload ratio, generating performance scores based on weighted criteria.
- **Deployment & User Testing:** Deploy the dashboard using Streamlit Cloud or an on-premise server and conduct user testing to refine usability and functionality.
- **Future Enhancements & AI Integration:** Explore AI-driven productivity predictions and sentiment analysis of student feedback to enhance faculty evaluation methods.

4. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

• **Presentation Layer:** The frontend is designed using Streamlit, offering an intuitive interface for navigation, data upload, and interactive visualizations to analyze faculty productivity.

- **Application Layer:** The backend is implemented in Python, using libraries like Pandas for data manipulation, NumPy for calculations, and Matplotlib/Seaborn for visualizations. Machine Learning models will be integrated for predictive analytics, such as identifying trends in faculty performance.
- **Data Layer:** Stores raw and processed data, along with machine learning models, using SQLite for local storage or cloud databases for scalability.
- **Workflow:** User inputs flow from the Presentation Layer to the Application Layer, where ML models process the data, and results are sent back for visualization.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- **Faculty Workload Trends:** Higher teaching hours correlate with lower research output, indicating a workload imbalance.
- **Research Contribution Insights:** Faculty with structured time management show better research productivity.
- Visualization & Interpretation: Interactive graphs help administrators identify and optimize workload distribution.
- **Impact of Administrative Duties:** Increased administrative roles negatively affect both teaching and research performance.
- **Correlation Between Teaching & Research:** Statistical analysis confirms an inverse relationship between teaching load and research productivity.
- **Productivity Model Implications:** Maslow's Hierarchy suggests faculty with better resource allocation perform more efficiently.
- User Feedback & Usability: Faculty and administrators find the dashboard user-friendly and effective for workload analysis.
- **Future Scope & Enhancements:** AI-based predictions and student feedback analysis can further refine faculty evaluation.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The Faculty Productivity Analysis Dashboard provides a data-driven approach to evaluating faculty performance, addressing inefficiencies in traditional assessment methods. By integrating interactive visualizations, statistical analysis,





Peer Reviewed Journal

ISSN 2581-7795

and theoretical productivity models, the dashboard offers valuable insights into workload distribution and research output. The study highlights the correlation between teaching load and research productivity, enabling administrators to make informed decisions for workload optimization. Future enhancements, such as AI-driven predictions and sentiment analysis, can further improve faculty evaluation, making academic institutions more efficient and research-oriented.

REFERENCES

- [1] "Faculty Work Activity Dashboards: A Strategy to Increase Transparency" KerryAnn O'Meara et al.
- [2] "Developing a Dashboard for Faculty Development in Competency-Based Medical Education" Almasi S, Mehrabi N, Ghorbani M
- [3] "The Effects of the Quantification of Faculty Productivity"

Chris J. Nyberg, Allen M. Shore

[4] "Modelling the Influence of Antecedents of Artificial Intelligence on the Productivity of Academics in Higher Education" John Peter, Alice Rachel